

|   |                                      |   |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/917,641 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>SHINOHARA ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Melvin H. Pollack | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2145                 |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Melvin H. Pollack.

(2) Jill DeMello Hill (42,477).

**Date of Interview:** 15 September 2006

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
 Claims discussed:  
 18  
  
 Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_


(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 11:30 AM EST

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Examiner proposed amendment to claim 18 to bring into tangibility requirements of USC 101. Applicant accepted.*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)